

University of Glasgow

Academic Standards Committee – Friday 6 October 2017

Report from the ASC Programme Approval Group,
held on 1 September 2017

Ms H Clegg, Senate Office

Present:

Dr Niall MacFarlane (Convener), Dr Raymond McCluskey, Dr Anna Morgan-Thomas

In attendance:

Ms H Clegg, Professor J Davies

The ASC Programme Approval Group convened to consider one proposal, a collaborative programme with the Singapore Institute of Technology.

BEng Civil Engineering (SIT)

Rationale: The programme is being proposed as a joint programme with SIT, with the objective of increasing the number of civil engineering graduates in Singapore.

Regulations: The programme will be governed by SIT regulations.

Programme Specification: The Group considered the Programme Specification and other documentation for this proposal, and raised the following points requiring to be addressed:

- In Section 5, the 'intake numbers' column should be removed. In the final bullet point it should be clarified that 'A-levels' refer to Singaporean A-levels, and an indication of the diploma or A-level subjects that might be relevant should be provided;
- In Section 9, the ILOs were considered to be very brief and, in some cases, were incapable of being assessed. Professor Davies advised that these ILOs had been approved by SIT and therefore were required to be included. The PAG and Professor Davies agreed that further ILOs set down in documentation for the Engineering Accreditation Board in Singapore would also be included;
- In Section 10, the content should be presented more succinctly;
- In Section 11, the material appeared inconsistent with the material in the SIT documentation. It should be revised to include 'continual assessment' as a sub-heading encompassing the coursework elements, and should indicate how assessment progresses throughout the programme;
- In Section 12, the GU credits should be shown in the table and it should be clarified that no exit awards are available. A link to the regulations should be provided in place of the statement that students can request a copy;
- It was noted that no student consultation had taken place. Professor Davies confirmed that this was because there was no appropriate group of students who could have been consulted.

Conclusion: *The Group approves the proposal, subject to the amendments indicated above being made.*